INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM) January-June 2023, Submitted in January 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048

Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 = 6.753

### **Property Rights of Women under Hindu Law in India**

Sarita, H.No - 73, Village - Jatauli, Haily Mandi Tehsil Pataudi, District- Gurgaon-122504, Haryana, India. Email- greatsarita.singh@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

Women are the backbone of any civilized society and without women partnership in a civilized society is not complete. In the old days, women were given the same rights as men. However, with the passage of times, some miscreants of society have snatched the rights of them. After the independence of India, attempts were made to remove the inequality and injustice in the society especially for women and fundamental rights inserted in the Constitution of India. Section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act done away only proprietary rights and not religious rights. After the 2005 Amendment Act, in the coparcenary property daughters have the same rights and liabilities as son has, by birth. This paper studies the rights of womenin Coparcenary property. Secondary data used in this research paper. This paper mainly based on books, articles and SWATKEI POEIT STIAL gment etc.

Keywords: Mitakshara School, survivorships Feteraletive jamobstructed and obstructed heritage, coparcenary and separate property.

### INTRODUCTION

Hindu Succession Act, 1956, (hereinafter HSA) applies upon all the persons other than Muslim, Christian, Parsi, Jew by religion. HAS applied only upon the Mitakshara School of law, which is based upon the patriarchal system. The law of Mitakshara school extends to the whole of India except Assam and West Bengal. Hindu Joint Family consists of all the members of the family, i.e. one common male ancestor, his wife, his lineal male descendants with their wives, unmarried or divorced daughters, widows and children of a male descendant. Whereas in Hindu Coparcenary consists of all the male members, after the 2005 Amendment Acts, daughter also, until the third generation from the male holder alive. Hence, Coparcenary consists of father, father's father, father's father's father. Therefore, the Hindu Joint Family is wider than Hindu Coparcenary, we can say that Hindu Coparcenary is part of the Hindu Joint Family. HSA enacted by the legislature for the empowerment of women and to do proprietary justice, which is guaranteed by The Constitution of India.

HSA gives legal recognition to the Coparcenary or ancestral property and separate property. The property inherited from father, father's father, or father's father's father is called coparcenary property, i.e. unobstructed heritage (when right is created by birth) or ancestral or joint family property, which is purchased by joint family's efforts.

When right is acquired not by birth but by there being no male issue is called obstructed heritage whereas the property received other than father, father's father's father's father's father is called separate property, i.e. by gift, by will, self-acquired, testamentary or intestate succession. In Hindu Coparcenary property, a son has the same rights as a father have and son can claim partition, on the other hand, in separate property was no rights in father's property and son cannot claim partition. Rights in Hindu Coparcenary property get by birth or by way of adoption only. Before 2005 Hindu Succession Amendment Act, daughters are not considered as coparcenary but after amendment, by substitution of sec-6, daughters also recognized as coparcenary.

Property and inheritance acts in India are governed as per the Hindu Succession act. There have been several times when property rules based on this act were on the question of justice. Women have to struggle for their long-ago property rights. The basic ideology that works behind keeping women away from property rights is that they're not to stay in their birth family. After marriage, moving to their marital families, they become part of it. This way, only males have rights over the properties of their family.

However, Breaking such philosophy and barriers, in the past two decades rights of women's property evolved significantly.

signitifie Indexing Services

INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM)

January-June 2023, Submitted in January 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048



Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 = 6.753

### LEGAL POSITION OF WOMEN IN PROPERTY BEFORE 2005 AMENDMENT

The Hindu Women's Right to Properties Act 1937 gave a deathblow to the doctrine of survivorship. Under this Act, the widow of a deceased coparcener of a Mithakshara undivided family will have the same interest, which her husband had while he was alive. It may be noted that the widow has the right to claim partition. (1)

Under the Hindu Women's Right to Properties Act, 1937 widow can claim maintenance from her father-in-law's ancestral property in which her husband had a share. She has the only possessory right, she could use only for herself maintenance and not otherwise. She has no absolute right in the ancestral property; she has an only limited or restricted interest. She has only right to enjoythe possession of the property until her alive and she cannot alienate that property.

Section 14 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956.(1) Any property possessed by a female Hindu, whether acquired before or after the commencement of this Act, shall be held by her as full owner thereof and not as a limited owner. According to section 14 of The Hindu Succession Act, 1956, any property, which is possessed by a Hindu female, which is acquired either before or after 17 June 1956, is her absolute property.

Under the Hindu Women's Right to Properties Act, 1937, Hindu female has an only limited interest, but section 14 of The Hindu Succession Act, 1956, gives the absolute interest in the property. Therefore, The Hindu Women's Right to Properties Act, 1937, women has rights only limited interest, but at the commencement of The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 i.e. 17 June 1956, this limited interest converts into absolute ownership under section 14 of the 1956 Act.

## SECTION 6 OF THE HINDU SUCCESSION ACT, 1956: BEFORE THE AMENDMENT ACT, 2005.

When a male Hindu dies after the commencement of this Act, having at the time of his death an interest in a Mitakshara coparcenary property, his interest in the property shall devolve by survivorship upon the surviving members of the coparcenary and not following this Act: Provided that, if the deceased had left him survivinga female relative specified in class one of the schedule or a male relative specified in that class who claims through such female relative then the interest of the deceased in the Mitakshara coparcenary property shall devolve by testamentary or intestate succession, as the case may be, under this act and not by survivorship.

**Explanation 1 -** For this section, the interest of a Hindu Mitakshara coparcener shall be deemed to be the share in the property that would have been allotted to him if a partition of the property had taken place immediately before his death, irrespective of whether he was entitled to claim partition or not.

**Explanation 2 -** Nothing contained in the proviso to this section shall be construed as enabling a person who has separated himself from the coparagraph before the death of the deceased or any of his heirs to claim on intestacy a share in the interest referred to therein.

After the commencement of The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 i.e. 17 June 1956, a male Hindu dies with the interest in a Coparcenary property, his interest devolvesonly by way of survivorship. Nevertheless, if such male Hindu had died living behind a female relative or male relative who claims through such female relative, covered under class one of the schedules, the interest of such male Hindu (deceased) shall be devolved by testamentary and intestate succession and not by survivorship. For determination of the Hindu male share under this section, Notional partition takes place, whereas to calculate such share testamentary or intestate succession takes place.



INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM)

January-June 2023, Submitted in January 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048

PC

Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 = 6.753

### SECTION 6 OF THE HINDU SUCCESSION ACT: AFTER AMENDMENT ACT, 2005.

Section6. Devolution of interest in coparcenary property (1):- On and from the commencement of the Hindu Succession (Amend) Act, 2005 (39 of 2005), in a Joint Hindu family governed by the Mitakshara law, the daughter of a coparcener shall

- By birth become a coparcener in her own right the same manner as the sun
- Have the same rights in the coparcenary property as she would have had if she had been a son
- Be subject to the same liabilities in respect of the said coparcenary property as that of a son

and any reference to a Hindu Mitakshara coparcener shall be deemed to include a reference to a daughter of a coparcener:

On and from the 9 September 2005 i.e. the commencement date of The Hindu Succession (Amend) Act, 2005, the daughter of a Copyright Ship be by birth same rights and liabilities as a son in the Mitakshara's Hindu family of nothing contained in this sub-section shall affect or invalidate any disposition or alienation, including any partition or testamentary disposition of property, which had taken place before the 20th day of December 2004. Any partition, alienation or disposition of the coparcenary property will remain unaffected, which take place before 20 December 2004 i.e. introduction date of the bill in the Council of State. The object of Legislature in the above provision is to make unaffected of fake transaction that takes place after the introduction of the bill, to protect the rights of the females.

### PARKASH &ORS VS PHULAVATI &ORS (2016)

The supreme court held that the rights under the 2005 amendment apply to living daughters of living coparceners as on 9<sup>th</sup>September 2005 irrespective of when such daughters are born, means only those daughters are coparceners whose father is alive on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2005. The court also held that this Act is prospective in nature.

### DANAMMA @ SUMEN SURPUR & ANR VS AMAR & ORS (2018)

In this case, the Supreme Court held that the daughter of a coparcener by birth should become a coparcener in her own right in the same manner as the son. The right is inherent and can be availed of by any coparcener now even by a daughter who is coparcener is entitled to his coparcenary properties.

SHROMA EDUCATIONAL ACADEMY

The question regarding the interpretation of section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 has been referred to the larger Bench of the Supreme Court, to clear the confusion of two Division Bench judgements of this court in Prakash &Ors V. Phulavati & Ors (2016) and Danamma @ Sumen Surpur & Anr. V. Amar & Ors(2018).

### VINEETA SHARMA VS RAKESH SHARMA & ORS (2020)

- 1. The provisions contained in substituting sec.6 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 confer the status of coparcener on the daughter born before or after amendment in the same as a son with the same rights and liabilities.
- 2. The rights can be claimed by the daughter born earlier with effect from 9<sup>th</sup> September 2005 with savings as provided in Sec 6 (1) as to the disposition or alienation, partition or testamentary disposition, which had taken place before 20<sup>th</sup> December 2004.
- 3. Since the right in coparcenary is by birth, father coparcener does not need to be living as on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2005.
- **4.** This Act is retroactive in nature (it has taken effect from a date before it was approved) i.e. this Act approved on 9.9.2005 but taking effect 20.12.2004.

### What does Hindu law say about women's property rights?

Hindu women's property rights in India are governed by the Hindu Succession Act of 1956 and the Hindu Women's Right to Property Act of 1937. Hindu women's property rights were the main focus of the 1937 Hindu Women's Right to Property Act. It made it possible for a Hindu widow to inherit the same amount from her intestate husband's estate as her sons.

Scientific Indexing Services

INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM)

January-June 2023, Submitted in January 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048

# PC

### Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 = 6.753

However, it did not address the problems with women's property rights as a whole, nor did it grant Hindu women coparcenary rights.

Following the 174th Law Commission Report's recommendations, the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 was passed and made significant changes to the 1956 Act. It represents a significant step toward eliminating gender inequity in India.

### **Understanding Coparcenary Property**

It is important to first understand the meaning of coparcenary property before learning about a Hindu woman's interest in it. Any intestate ancestral property acquired by the members of a Hindu Undivided Family is referred to as coparcenary property. Before the amendment in 2005, son, grandson, and great-grandson, only three male descendants had the right to inherit the intestate ancestral property.

### Hindu Succession Act 1956, 2005 amendment

The 2005 Amendment repealed the long-standing discriminatory practice of excluding women from the coparcenary system. It was done by amending Section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act of 1956. As per Section 6(1) of the 1956 act, like sone, the daughter of a coparcener will by birth become a coparcener in her own right.

This way Section 6(1) of the Hindu Succession Act 1956 gives equal rights and liabilities to both sons and daughters of the coparcener.

### Share in coparcenary property

According to Section 6(3) of the 1956 Act, a deceased coparcener's stake in the assets of a Hindu Undivided Family will pass through testamentary or intestate succession. The devolution must occur in a manner that:

- The daughter has the same share as that of a son
- Pre-deceased woman coparcener's share goes to her surviving children similarly in the same way it was given to her.

### What does Full Ownership mean?

Every Hindu woman has complete ownership rights over any movable or immovable property she has acquired, according to Section 14 of the 1956 Act.

She could have gotten it in any of the following ways, either before or after her marriage:

- Inheritance
- Partition
- Instead of paying for maintenance or arrears
- Any gift, whether from a relative or not
- Own ability or effort
- Buy or get a prescription

### Section 14 of the Hindu Succession Act 1956

Section 14 of the 1956 Act grants any Hindu woman the ability to use her property without the husband's, father's, etc. approval or consent. She is free to transfer her property at any time, and she is free to spend the proceeds in any way she chooses.

According to Section 30 of the 1956 Act, any Hindu lady has the entire legal authority to sell her belongings either through intestate or testamentary succession as per the right to full ownership. Previously only Hindu men were permitted to make a will to dispose of their possessions. Hindu women now have the same right.

### Right of a woman in property of son, father, and husband's property

The general guidelines for the devolution of any intestate Hindu male's property are laid out in Section 8 of the 1956 Act. It specifies that the heirs listed in class I of the Schedule have priority rights to the dead male's shares.

The general guidelines for the transfer of an intestate Hindu woman's property are covered in Section 15 of the 1956 Act.

It specifies how the devolution will occur:

INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM)

January-June 2023, Submitted in January 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048

## PC

### Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 = 6.753

- 1-The husband, followed by the sons and daughters (including the children of any deceased son or daughter)
- 2-The husband's heirs
- 3-Focus on the mother and father, followed by fourth, the father's heirs, and finally, the mother's heirs.

Therefore, the 1956 Act's Section 15 clarifies that daughters, mothers, and husbands, in that order, have rights over the assets of their intestate mothers, daughters, and spouses.

#### **CONCLUSION**

India is world largest democracy and if there is any inequality, it is the responsibility of the legislative as well as the judiciary to do away that inequality and with the help of both previously mentioned authorities, section 6 of The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 do the complete justice to the women. Without giving complete justice to women, it is against the soul of Constitutional principles. Now women havethe same rights and liabilities in the Coparcenary properties as son has, by birth. If a daughter is alive on the date of enforcement of the Amendment Act, she becomes a coparcener with effect from the date of the Amendment Act, irrespective of the date of birth earlier in point of time. The era when patriarchy dictated women's rights and desires is long gone. More than ever before, women are becoming independent. Today's women don't need to rely on the antiquated tradition to fulfill their post-marriage demands due to increasing knowledge and technology. This traditional change has given women the power to enjoy property rights like men. Recent judicial reforms giving property rights to Indian women is a step in the right direction toward achieving gender equality in India.

### **REFERENCES**

- i. Hindu Women's Right to Property Act (XVIII of) 1937
- ii. Mayne's, Treatise on Hindu Law and Usage, (1996 fourteenth Edition, altered by AlladiKuppuswami p. 1065.
- iii. Section 3(3) of Hindu Women's Right to Property Act, 1937
- iv. M. Indira Devi, "Woman's Assertion of Legal Rights to Ownership of property" in Women & Law Contemporary Problems, (1994 edt. by L. Sarkar and B. Sivaramayya) p. 174
- v. The Hindu Code Bill of 1948 controversy AL ADADE
- vi. The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 (30 of 1956) Commentary by National Commission for Women, India
- vii. http://www.vakilno1.com/bareacts/hindusuccessionact/hindusuccessionact.html#THE SCHEDULE
- viii. http://www.arvindguptatoys.com/arvindgupta/JP-59.pdf Pgs 52 56
- ix. http://www.lawctopus.com/academike/hindu-women-changes-towards-property-rights/
- x. 174th Report of Law Commission of India under the Chairmanship of Justice B. P. Jeevan Reddy, vide D.O. No. 6(3)(59)/99-LC(LS), dated fifth May, 2000
- xi. http://www.hrln.org/admin/issue/subpdf/HSA\_Amendment\_2005
- xii. Mayne's 'Hindu Law and Usage' (16th Edition, 2008 p.1175)
- xiii. ShriBadrinarayan Shankar vsOmpraskash Shankar Bhandari on 14 August, 2014
- xiv. <a href="http://mja.gov.in/Site/Upload/GR/Workshop%20Summary%208-11-4%20CIVIL%20SIDE-Gadchiroli.pdf">http://mja.gov.in/Site/Upload/GR/Workshop%20Summary%208-11-4%20CIVIL%20SIDE-Gadchiroli.pdf</a>
- xv. http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/
- xvi. http://manupatra.com/roundup/329/Articles/Rights.pdf

