# **Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for Estimation of** Salmeterol Xinafoate and Fluticasone in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form

Arti Rajput, Research Scholar, Department of Pharmacy, SunRise University Alwar, Raj. Dr.Bharat Tekade, Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy, SunRise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.

### **Abstract:**

A simple, specific, accurate stability indicating RP-HPLC method was developed for assay of Salmeterol xinafoate and Fluticasone propionate in Mdi using Hypersil BDS C18 column (15 cm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Column and a mobile phase composing of Buffer: Acetonitrile: (35:65) v/v. The flow rate was 2.0 ml/min and the effluent was monitored at 220 nm. The retention time was 3.66  $\pm 0.1$  min and  $9.225\pm 0.1$  minThe method was statistically validated for specificity, accuracy, precision, linearity, robustness and solution stability. Quantitative and recovery studies of the dosage form were also carried out and the % RSD was found to be less than 2. The developed method is simple, rapid and accurate and hence can be used for routine quality control analysis.

### **Introduction:**

The chemical name of **fluticasone propionate** is S-Fluoromethyl 6, 9-difluoro-11B-hydroxy-16methyl-3-oxo-17 -propionyloxy-androsta-1, 4-diene-17ß-carbothioate. It has a molecular formula of C25H31F3O5S and a molecular weight of 500.6.

The chemical name of salmetrol xinafoate is 4-Hydroxy'-[6-(4-phenylbutoxy)hexyl]amino]methyl]-1,3-benzenedimethanol,1-hydroxyl-2-naphthoate. It has a molecular formula of C25H37NO4.C11H8O3 and a molecular weight of 603.8.

Fluticasone propionate is a white to off-white powder. It is freely soluble in dimethyl sulfoxide and dimethylformamide, sparingly soluble in acetone, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate and chloroform, slightly soluble in methanol and 95% ethanol, and practically insoluble in water.

Salmeterol xinafoate is a white to off-white crystalline powder. It is freely soluble in methanol, slightly soluble in ethanol, chloroform, and isopropanol, and sparingly soluble in water.

Literature surveys revealed that sensitive LC-MS methods are available for analysis of ant diabetic drugs and its metabolites in human plasma and urine. Several HPLC methods have been developed individually and combined dosage forms in human plasma. Even though stability indicating methods are developed for individual Anti asthametic drugs. Present study aimed for the easy specific precise and accurate earlier those than reported methods by reverse phase HPLC method. The method was validated according to the ICH (Q2A) guidelines.

### Selection of wavelength

10µg/mLsolutionofSalmeterol xinafoate and10µg/mLsolutionFluticasone propionatewasprepared using methanol as solvent. The above mentioned solutions were

scannedindividuallyfrom190to400nminUV-Visiblespectrophotometer.Theoptimalresponse for the overlain spectrum of Salmeterol xinafoate obtained at 225 and Fluticasone propionate was



Figure No.1 :UVSpectrumofSalmeterol Xinafoate





Several trials were made to get good peak resolution, acceptable plate count andtailing factor. Method was optimized for the simultaneous estimation of Salmeterol xinafoate andFluticasone propionate pharmaceutical dosageform.



Figure No. 3: StandardChromatogramfor Salmeterol Xinafoate Table No. 1 : Standard Chromatogramfor Salmeterol Xinafoate

Name	RetentionTime	Area	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
Salmeterol Xinafoate	3.661	3463416	1.5	4890





Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
Fluticasone Propionate	9.225	3043425	1.2	36568
mALL				

No. 2: StandardChrom	atogramfor I	Fluticasone	Propionate

-225nm,4nm (1.00)	200		
,	2014		
	EC MA		
	¥		
			N
-			94446
			2001
			K.

5.0 6.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 Figure No. 5: Standard Chromatogram for Optimized Method Table No. 3: Standard Chromatogram for Optimized Method

Name	Retention Time		Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
Salmeterol Xinafoate	3.824	0.00	489382	9 3	1.5	4890
Fluticasone Propionate	9.129	2 8 (	47432	<b>5</b> .1	1.4	3586



Figure No. 6 :SampleChromatogramforOptimizedMethod Table No. 4:SampleChromatogramforOptimizedMethod

Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
Salmeterol Xinafoate	3.533	2736116		1.5	4874
Fluticasone Propionate	7.811	332473	5.1	1.4	3579



### Figure No. 7: BlankChromatogramforOptimizedMethod Table No. 5: BlankChromatogramforOptimizedMethod

Table No. 04: Results for Standard and Samples							
Sr.No.	Nameofthe drug	Concentration	Area	RetentionTi me			
1.	Salmeterol Xinafoate Standard	100µg/ml	3463416	3.661			
2.	Fluticasone Propionate Standard	100µg/ml	3043425	9.225			
3.	Salmeterol XinafoateandFluticasone Propionate Standard Solution	100µg/ml	489382and 47432	3.824 and 9.129			
4.	Cyplos Powder for Inhalation	100µg/ml	2736116 and 332473	3.533 and 7.811			

TheretentiontimesforSalmeterol xinafoateand Fluticasone propionate standard solution werefoundtobe3.824 and 9.129respectively.PercentagepurityofSalmeterol xinafoateand Fluticasone propionate

found to be 98.7% w/w and 98.8% w/w respectively. Resolution between two analytes is good. No peak asymmetry was observed. No other impurity interference was seen. All the results we refound to be within the acceptance criteria. Hence the method was considered to be

optimized.Resultsaregivenin TableNo. 4.

#### **METHODVALIDATION: SPECIFICITY:**

The chromatograms of standard and sample are identical with nearly same retention time. No interference due to place bo and sample at the retention time of analyte which shows that the method was specific. The chromatograms for specificity studies sample are represented as Figure



Figure No. 8: Standard Chromatogram for Salmeterol XinafoateandFluticasone Propionate Identification

Figure No. 6: Standard Chromatogram for Salmeterol XinafoateandFluticasone Propionate Identification

Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
Salmeterol Xinafoate	3.824	489382		1.5	4890
Fluticasone Propionate	9.129	47432	5.1	1.4	3586

### LINEARITY:

Linearity study was performed in the concentration range of  $10-50\mu g$  / ml. The Chromatograms for the linearity are shown in Figure No. 18. The linearity curve is plotted and shown in Fig.No.10 and 11. The data of linearity is tabulated in TableNo.5and6.



Figure No.10:CalibrationCurveofFluticasone Propionate

rabic 140, 0, Emicarity coursion functione i replonate					
Concentration (µg/ml)	Area				
10	47432				
20	118971				
30	159217				
40	203813				
50	332473				

### Table No. 8: LinearityresultsforFluticasone Propionate

### **ACCURACY:**

The percentage recoveries of pure drug from the analyzed solution of formulation arecalculated in the recovery range from 50% to 150%. Standard and sample chromatograms for linearity are shown in Fig.No.11. The summary of accuracy results are further tabulated.



Fig No.11: StandardChromatogramforAccuracy Table No.9: StandardChromatogramforAccuracy

Name	Retentiont ime	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
SAL	3.793	1666491	91.2791	1.350	7931.765
FLU	8.579	159217	8.7209	1.181	14450.937

TableNo.	10:%Recover	vResultsforSal	meterol Xinafoate
		.)	

Sample No.	Spike Level	Amount (µg/ml) added	Amount found (µg/ml)	% Recovery	Mean % Recovery
		5	4.96	99.2%	
1	50%	5	4.99	99.8%	100.3%
		5	5.1	102%	
		10	9.92	99.2%	
2	100%	10	9.94	99.4%	99.4%
		10	9.98	99.8%	

		15.3	15.1	98.6%	
3	150%	15.3	15.2	99.3%	99.3%
		15.3	15.3	100%	
	Tab	leNo.11:%	RecoveryRes	ultsforFlutica	asone Propionate
Sample No.	Spike Level	Amount (µg/ml) added	Amount found (µg/ml)	% Recovery	Mean % Recovery
		5	4.9	98%	100%
1	50%	5	5.1	102%	100/0
1		5	5	100%	
		10	9.88	98.8%	99.13%
2	100%	10	9.91	99.1%	
_		10 9	9.95	99.5%	-
		14.8	14.72	99.4%	99.69%
3	150%	14.8	14 <mark>.7</mark> 9	99.9%	
		14.8	14.77	99.79%	

The % recovery for 50%, 100% and 150% accuracy level of Salmeterol xinafoate andFluticasone propionatewasfoundtobewithintherangeof99.3-100.3% and 99.13-100% respectively (98.0to102.0%).

**PRECISION:** TheRSDof%RecoveryforSalmeterol xinafoate andFluticasone propionate chromatogramsofrepeatability precision and intermediate precision is calculated. It passes repeatabilityand intermediate precision.



Figure No. 12: StandardChromatogramforPrecision Table No. 12: StandardChromatogramforPrecision

Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
SAL	3.678	2046833	90.9442	1.252	8000.758
FLU	6.739	203813	9.0558	1.193	14609.097

# LIMITOFDETECTION(LOD):

The limit of detection was calculated from the linearity curve method using slope, and standard deviation of intercepts of calibration curve. Limit of Detection was found tobe 0.003µg/ml for Salmeterol xinafoate and 0.09 µg/ml for Fluticasone propionate.

## CalculationofS/NRatioforSalmeterol Xinafoate:

Averagebaselinenoiseobtainedfromblank: 52µV

SignalobtainedfromLOD solution(0.25% oftargetassayconcentration):154µVS/N=154/52=2.96

## **CalculationofS/NRatioforFluticasone Propionate:**

Averagebaselinenoiseobtainedfromblank: 52µV

SignalobtainedfromLOD solution(0.3% oftarget assayconcentration:155 µVS/N=155/52=2.98 Limitofdetectionwasfoundtobe2.96for Salmeterol Xinafoate and 2.98for Fluticasone **Propionate.** 

# LIMITOFOUANTIFICATION(LOO):

Thelimitofquantificationwascalculatedfromthelinearitycurvemethodusingslope, and standard deviation of intercepts of calibration curve.

CalculationofS/NRatioforSalmeterol Xinafoate:

Averagebaselinenoiseobtainedfromblank: 52 µV

SignalobtainedfromLOQ solution(1% oftarget assayconcentration 522µVS/N=522/52=10.

# CalculationofS/NRatioforFluticasone Propionate:

AverageBaselineNoiseobtainedfrom Blank:52uV

SignalObtainedfromLOQ solution(1.0% oftarget assayconcentration): 519µVS/N=519/52=9.98 Limitofquantificationwasfoundtobe10forSalmeterol Xinafoate and 9.98 forFluticasone **Propionate.** 1

**ROBUSTNESS:** 

Table No. 13: RobustnessResultsforSalmeterol Xinafoate					
Sr.No.	Flow Rate(ml/mi	SystemSuitabilityResults			
	n)	USPPlatecount	SPTailing		
1	0.4	4859	1.62		
2	0.5	4890	1.58		
3	0.6	4895	1.58		

\*Resultsforactualflow(0.5ml/min)havebeenconsideredfromassaystandard

Table No. 14: RobustnessResultsforFluticasone Propionate					
Sr.No.	Flow Rate(ml/mi	SystemSuitabilityResults			
	n)	USPPlatecount	SPTailing		
1	0.5	3330.4	1.52		
2	0.7	3437.6	1.47		
3	0.9	3228.7	1.47		

\*Resultsforactualflow(0.5ml/min)havebeenconsideredfromassaystandard.

International Advance Journal of Engineering, Science and Management (IAJESM) ISSN -2393-8048, January-June 2022, Submitted in May 2022, <u>iajesm2014@gmail.com</u> The%RSDofretentiontimeandasymmetrywerewithinlimitsforvariationin Flowrate(±0.1ml).

Table No. 15:RobustnessResultsforSalmeterol Xinafoate						
Sn No	ChangeinOrganic	SystemSuitabilityResults				
	Composition in TheMobile Phase	USP Platecount	USPTailing			
1	10%less	4899	1.52			
2	*Actual	4857	1.52			
3	10% more	4879	1.61			

Table No. 16:RobustnessResultsforFluticasone Propionate						
C.N.	ChangeinOrganic	SystemSuitabilityResults				
51.110	Composition in TheMobile Phase	USP Platecount	USPTailing			
1	10%less	3887	1.42			
2	*Actual 9	3437	1.42			
3	10% more	<mark>39</mark> 85	1.51			

\*Resultsforactualmobilephasecomposition(65:35acetonitrile:phosphatebuffer)hasbeenconsideredf romaccuracystandard.

 $The \% RSD of retention time and a symmetry we rewithin limits for variation (\pm 2\%) in composition of mobile phase. Hence the method was found to be robust.$ 

**SYSTEMSUITABILITY:**From the system suitability studies it was observed that

%RSDofretentiontimewasfoundtobe0.2,%RSDofpeakareawasfoundtobe

0.2. Alltheparameterswerewithinthelimit. The results of systems uitability studies are tabulated.



Figure No. 13: StandardChromatogramforSystem suitability Table No. 17: StandardChromatogramforSystem suitability

Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Platecount
SAL	4.675	2208291	87.2155	1.119	8250.910
FLU	6.563	323703	12.7845	1.223	13383.445



### Figure No. 14: SampleChromatogramforSystem suitability

		0	-		
Name	Retentiont	Area	USP	USP	USP
	ime	Alta	Resolution	Tailing	Platecount
SAL	4.679	2288622	87.5978	0.978	7998.670
FLU	6.787	324027	12.4022	1.239	16419.708

# Table No. 18 :Summary Of Results Of Method Validation For Salmeterol Xinafoate And Fluticasone Propionate

Sr.	Parameter		Res	sults	Acceptancec
No.		Requirement	SAL	FLU	riteria
1.	Specificity	Nointerference	Pass	Pass	Nointerference
2.	Linearity	Correlationcoeffic ient	0.9998	0.9997	NLT0.999
		50% recovery	100.3%	100%	
3.	Accuracy	100% recovery	99.4%	99.13%	$100{\pm}~2.0\%$
		150% recovery	99.3%	99.69%	
4.	Precision (repeatability)	%RSD	0.42	0.36	NMT2%
5.	Intermediate precision	%RSD	0.03	0.89	NMT1%
6.	Robustness	%RSD	0.43	0.36	NMT1%
7.	Systemsuitabili ty	RT	4.679	6.787	-
8.		Tailingfactor	1.6	1.4	NMT2
9.		Platecount	4859	3330	NLT3000
10.		Assayvalue	98.7%	98.8%	100± 2.0%

### **Conclusion:**

An reversed phase high performance liquid chromatographic method was developed and validated for the determination of Salmeterol and Fluticasone in pharmaceutical dosage form as a single component. This chromatographic assay fulfilled all the requirements needed for it to be

identified as a reliable and feasible method, including accuracy, recovery and precision data. It is highly accurate, precise and selective. The analytical procedure and its chromatographic run time is less than 10 min. Therefore, the HPLC method can be used as a routine sample analysis for stability study purposes

### **Reference:**

[1]Indian Pharmacopoeia: Government of India ministry of health & family welfare, published by the Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad, Vol. III, 2014:2706.

[2]. Paczkowska E, Smukowska D, Tratkiwicz E and Bialasiewicz P: HPLC method for simultaneous determination of Salmeterol xinofoate for the quality control of dry powder inhalation products. Acta Chromatographic 2015; 27(2): 309-320.

[3]. Ahmed S, Hesham S and Abdelkawy M: Simultaneous Determination of Salmeterol xinofoate in bulk powder and Seretide discus using High performance liquid chromatography and Spectrophotometric method. Pharma Anal Acta 2012; 3(8) 1-7.

[4]. Murnane D, Martin GP and Marriott C: Validation of a RP- HPLC method for the assay of a weak base Salmeterol xinofoate Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 2006; 40: 1149-1154.

[5]. Jain PS, Gorle AP, Patil SS, Chavan RS, Bari PR and Surana SJ: Stability-indicating RP-HPLC method for estimation of Salmeterol xinofoate in bulk and in a Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Analysis 2015; 2(1): 28-33.

[6]. Luigi G, Giovanni F and Valentina G: Analysis of beta- agonist residues in bovine hair: Development of a UPLCMS/MS method and stability study. Journal of Chromatography B 2016; 1036-1037: 76-83.

[7]. Kasaye L, Hymete A and Mohamed A: HPTLC- densitometric method for simultaneous determination of Salmeterol xinofoate in dry powder inhaler. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal 2010; 18: 153-159.

[8] Patel P and Patel U: Development and validation of Spectrophotometric method for simultaneous determination of Roflumilast and Salmeterol in the synthetic mixture. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Research 2016; 5(2): 68-77.

[9]. Goodman and Gillman's The Pharmaceutical Basis of Therapeutics, 2001, 10th Edition, 736-740. [10]. H.H. Tong, B.Y. Shekunov, P. York, A.H. Chow, pharm. Research. 18 (2001) 852-858.

[11]. RP. Austin, P. Barton, A.M. Davis, C.N. Manners, M.C. Stansfield, The effect of ionic strength on liposome-buffer and 1- octanol- buffer distribution coefficients. J. Pharm. Sci. 87 (1998) 599-607.

[12]. A. Samir, H. Salem, M. Abdelkawy, Simultaneous determination of Salmeterol xinofoate in bulk powder and Seretide discus using high performance liquid chromatography and spectrophotometric method, Pharmaceutical Analysis. Acta. 50 (2012) 21-26.

[13]. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Salmeterol. [Last accessed on 10 Feb 2020].

[14]. ICH- Guidelines Q2B, Validation of Analytical Procedures Methodology; (CPMP/ICH/281/95), Geneva, Switzerland October (1994), P. 1-5.

[15]. ICH-Guidelines Q 2(R1), Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology.