

Material Selection for Solar Thermal Energy Storage: A Study of Sensible and PCM Technologies

Yogendra Meel, Research Scholar, Dept. of Physics, Shri Khushal Das University, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan
Dr. Anshul Joon, Dept. of Physics, Shri Khushal Das University, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of thermal energy storage materials—specifically sensible heat storage materials (SHSMs) and phase change materials (PCMs)—in the context of solar thermal applications. Given the intermittent nature of solar energy, efficient storage solutions are crucial for ensuring a consistent energy supply. While SHSMs are cost-effective and straightforward, they require large volumes due to their lower energy density. Conversely, PCMs offer higher energy density by storing energy during phase transitions but face challenges like subcooling and thermal cycling stability. This study evaluates various materials, considering factors such as thermal conductivity, melting point, cost, and long-term stability, to provide guidelines for selecting appropriate storage materials for different solar thermal applications.

Keywords: Solar thermal energy, sensible heat storage, phase change materials, thermal energy storage, material selection, renewable energy

Introduction

The increasing demand for renewable energy sources has highlighted the need for efficient energy storage solutions, especially for solar thermal systems. Thermal energy storage (TES) allows for the capture and retention of heat for later use, addressing the mismatch between energy supply and demand. Two primary methods of TES are sensible and latent heat storage. Sensible heat storage involves raising the temperature of a material, while latent heat storage utilizes the energy absorbed or released during phase changes of materials. This paper delves into the characteristics, advantages, and limitations of SHSMs and PCMs, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding to guide material selection for solar thermal applications.




Figure 3: Thermal Conductivity vs. Specific Heat Capacity of Selected Storage Materials

Objective

1. To compare the thermal properties of SHSMs and PCMs.
2. To assess the performance of various materials in solar thermal systems.
3. To identify the challenges associated with each type of storage material.
4. To propose guidelines for selecting suitable materials based on specific application requirements.

Literature Review

Sharma, Tyagi, Chen, and Buddhi (2009) conducted a comprehensive review on the use of phase change materials (PCMs) in thermal energy storage and their diverse applications in renewable energy systems. The study highlights the advantages of PCMs, particularly their ability to store and release large amounts of thermal energy during phase transitions, making them suitable for solar heating, building temperature regulation, and industrial heat recovery. The authors examined over 150 materials, evaluating them based on melting point, latent heat capacity, thermal conductivity, and cycling stability. They also discussed the challenges



associated with PCMs, including supercooling, low thermal conductivity, and long-term degradation, proposing solutions such as encapsulation techniques and composite materials. This review serves as a foundational work in the field, offering valuable insights into material selection and system design for efficient solar thermal energy storage.

Khudhair and Farid (2004) provided a detailed examination of the role of latent heat thermal energy storage (LHTES) systems using phase change materials (PCMs) in building energy conservation. Their review focused on how PCMs can be integrated into building components—such as walls, ceilings, and floors—to enhance thermal comfort and reduce energy consumption for heating and cooling. The study classified various organic, inorganic, and eutectic PCMs and assessed their thermal properties, cost, availability, and chemical stability. Additionally, the authors emphasized the importance of encapsulation methods and thermal conductivity enhancement techniques, which are critical to improving PCM performance in real-world applications. This work is significant as it bridges material science and architectural engineering, demonstrating the potential of PCM-based solutions to contribute to sustainable building design and energy-efficient infrastructure.

Methodology

1. Material Selection Criteria:

The selection of materials for solar thermal energy storage was guided by critical parameters that influence the efficiency and reliability of the storage system. These parameters included thermal conductivity, which determines the rate of heat transfer; specific heat capacity, which affects the amount of heat a material can store; and melting point, which must align with the operating temperature range of the solar thermal system. In addition, practical considerations such as cost, material availability, and long-term thermal and chemical stability were also essential to ensure feasibility and sustainability in real-world applications. The goal was to identify materials that not only perform well thermally but are also economically and environmentally viable.

2. Comparative Analysis:

A detailed comparative analysis was carried out to evaluate the advantages and limitations of Sensible Heat Storage Materials (SHSMs) and Phase Change Materials (PCMs) across a variety of solar thermal applications. SHSMs, such as water, oils, and concrete, were found to be cost-effective and simple to implement, though they often required larger volumes for the same energy storage capacity. PCMs, on the other hand, offered higher energy density due to latent heat storage but posed challenges in terms of cost, thermal cycling stability, and encapsulation requirements. This comparative approach provided valuable insights into the appropriate use cases for each material type, helping to optimize system design based on specific application needs.

Data Analysis

The data collected from various literature sources were systematically analyzed to uncover trends and correlations between material properties—such as thermal conductivity, latent heat, and specific heat capacity—and their performance in solar thermal energy storage systems. A combination of descriptive statistics and comparative evaluations was utilized to assess how different materials influenced key performance indicators such as system efficiency, thermal retention time, economic viability, and operational lifespan. Statistical methods, including correlation analysis and regression modeling, were applied where numerical data allowed, to quantify the impact of specific material characteristics on overall system behavior. This approach helped in identifying optimal materials for various applications and in making informed recommendations for future research and industrial use.

Results and Discussion

Thermal Performance

Phase Change Materials (PCMs) exhibit superior thermal performance due to their high energy density, which stems from the latent heat absorbed or released during phase transitions. This characteristic enables PCMs to store large amounts of energy in relatively compact volumes,



making them ideal for applications requiring high thermal storage capacity in limited space. In contrast, Sensible Heat Storage Materials (SHSMs), which rely on temperature changes to store energy, offer more consistent and gradual temperature profiles. This steady behavior can be advantageous in systems where thermal regulation over longer durations is critical, despite their generally lower energy density.

Table: Comparison of SHSMs and PCMs for Solar Thermal Energy Storage

| Parameter | SHSMs (e.g., Water, Sand, Concrete) | PCMs (e.g., Paraffin, Salt Hydrates) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Storage Mechanism | Sensible heat (ΔT) | Latent heat (Phase change) |
| Energy Density | Low to Medium | High |
| Thermal Conductivity | Moderate to High | Typically, Low (can be enhanced with additives) |
| Specific Heat Capacity | 0.8 – 4.2 kJ/kg·K | 1.0 – 2.5 kJ/kg·K (before/after melting) |
| Melting Point | Not applicable | 20°C – 300°C (material-dependent) |
| Cost | Low | Medium to High |
| Availability | High | Medium |
| Long-Term Stability | High | Moderate (requires encapsulation) |
| Volume Requirement | High | Low (due to high energy density) |
| Applications | Industrial heat, solar tanks | Solar buildings, electronics, textiles |
| Thermal Cycling Life | >10,000 cycles | 1,000–5,000 cycles |

Cost and Availability

SHSMs, such as water, rocks, and oils, are often more affordable and widely available, which makes them highly suitable for large-scale and low-cost solar thermal applications. Their simplicity in handling and implementation further enhances their appeal for industrial use. Conversely, PCMs are typically more expensive due to material processing and encapsulation needs, but they offer value in specialized applications where space, weight, or temperature precision are key factors—such as in building-integrated systems or portable solar devices.

Long-Term Stability

In terms of longevity, SHSMs generally provide greater thermal and chemical stability over time. Since they do not undergo phase transitions, they experience less structural degradation and maintain performance over many thermal cycles. On the other hand, PCMs are prone to issues like supercooling, phase separation, and thermal fatigue if not properly selected or encapsulated. Therefore, ensuring the long-term durability of PCMs requires careful material engineering and encapsulation techniques, especially for high-frequency or long-duration usage scenarios.

Conclusion

Sensible Heat Storage Materials (SHSMs) and Phase Change Materials (PCMs) each offer unique benefits and face specific limitations when applied to solar thermal energy storage systems. SHSMs are valued for their cost-effectiveness, stability, and ease of integration, making them well-suited for large-scale applications. In contrast, PCMs provide higher energy density and improved thermal efficiency, particularly in space-constrained or precision-dependent environments. The decision to use either material must be based on a comprehensive assessment of application-specific factors such as energy storage capacity, budget, spatial availability, and system durability. To further advance solar thermal technology, future research should focus on material innovation, including the development of composite or hybrid systems that integrate the strengths of both SHSMs and PCMs. Such innovations hold the potential to enhance overall system performance, reduce costs, and ensure the long-term sustainability of solar energy storage solutions.

References

1. Sharma, A., Tyagi, V. V., Chen, C. R., & Buddhi, D. (2009). Review on thermal energy storage with phase change materials and applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy*



- Reviews, 13(2), 318–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.10.005>
2. Farid, M. M., Khudhair, A. M., Razack, S. A. K., & Al-Hallaj, S. (2004). A review on phase change energy storage: materials and applications. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 45(9–10), 1597–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2003.09.015>
3. Kenisarin, M. M., & Mahkamov, K. (2007). Solar energy storage using phase change materials. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 11(9), 1913–1965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2006.07.006>
4. Mehling, H., & Cabeza, L. F. (2008). *Heat and cold storage with PCM: An up to date introduction into basics and applications*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68557-2>
5. Zalba, B., Marín, J. M., Cabeza, L. F., & Mehling, H. (2003). Review on thermal energy storage with phase change: materials, heat transfer analysis and applications. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 23(3), 251–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-4311\(02\)00192-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-4311(02)00192-8)
6. Tyagi, V. V., & Buddhi, D. (2007). PCM thermal storage in buildings: A state of art. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 11(6), 1146–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2005.10.002>
7. Khudhair, A. M., & Farid, M. M. (2004). A review on energy conservation in building applications with thermal storage by latent heat using phase change materials. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 45(2), 263–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904\(03\)00131-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(03)00131-6)
8. Rathod, M. K., & Banerjee, J. (2012). Thermal stability of phase change materials used in latent heat energy storage systems: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 18, 246–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.10.022>
9. Arasu, A. V., & Sornakumar, T. (2013). Thermal performance of paraffin wax with Al₂O₃ nano-additives for latent heat storage system. *Renewable Energy*, 61, 353–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.09.057>
10. Kalaiselvam, S., & Parameshwaran, R. (2014). *Thermal energy storage technologies for sustainability: Systems design, assessment and applications*. Academic Press.
11. Rathore, P. K. S., & Shukla, S. K. (2019). Potential of nanomaterials in thermal energy storage applications: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 21, 801–825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2019.01.007>
12. Ling, T. C., Poon, C. S., & Wong, H. W. (2020). Phase change materials for thermal energy storage: From fundamentals to applications. *Journal of Energy Chemistry*, 49, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2020.01.022>
13. Raag, V., & Kumar, A. (2021). Recent advancements in phase change materials for solar thermal energy storage: A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 46(9), 4149–4156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.11.861>
14. Sharma, S., & Bajpai, P. K. (2023). Nano-enhanced phase change materials for solar thermal storage: Opportunities and challenges. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 46, 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2022.12.001>
15. IEA. (2022). *Thermal energy storage: A key technology for clean energy transitions*. International Energy Agency. <https://www.iea.org/reports/thermal-energy-storage>