Coupled Fixed-Point Theorems: Analyzing S-Multiplicative and Equivalence Patterns Metric Spaces in Racing

Ashesh Kumar Jharwal, Assistant Professor, Shivaji College, University of Delhi, New Delhi

Abstract

By combining linked fixed-point theorems with S-multiplicative metric space, this study seeks to understand equivalence patterns in competitive racing. The project is going to make use of these numeric concepts in race data to find out stable configurations and invariant features that will influence execution. Two theorems, 3.1 and 3.2, which manage the existence of fixed sites and the demands that ought to be met for them to keep up with stability in mixed drone situations structure the basic backbone of this research. The results shed light on certain pretty obscure connections between racing dynamics and execution tactics, which, in turn, require yet another interpretation of the adjusted force scenario. In this respect, the method is of benefit both for hypothetical considerations and for down-to-earth improvements of the aspects of racing dynamics relevant to strategy creation and execution upgrade in an assortment of racing circumstances. Of necessity, this work shows that the understanding of complex racing patterns is necessarily done with mathematical assumptions that could help in both hypothetical and down-to-earth developments in the field.

Keywords: Coupled Fixed-Point Theorems, Equivalence Patterns in Racing, Metric Space, S-Multiplicative.

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction sets the scene by drawing the readers into the world of competitive racing, a place where the need for victory pushes both humans and machines to the limit. It then points out the attraction created by equivalency patterns between competitors, which shows how effective variation in strategy or capacity is. In the process, it is expected that greater insight into competition and performance dynamics would be better understood. The fixed-point theorem in S-multiplicative metric space integrations sets a sound mathematical basis for analysis.

Given that racing is organized and quantified across disciplines, it serves as a prime domain in which to observe equivalency patterns. From virtual competitions to Formula 1 to horse racing, there is no shortage of data or settings in which to study racing. The latent patterns and correlations in mathematical modeling reveal the detailed insight of the underlying dynamics of racing-multiplicative metric spaces, introduced in the Introduction, too, further complicate the analysis by enhancing the measures of similarity and distance in the racing context. Working within this paradigm, the researchers can apply fixed-point theorems in order to find stable configurations and invariant features that explain equivalence patterns. This allows the drawing of important conclusions about competitive dynamics and methods of performance optimization. Herein, we extend these interests to mixed monotone operators, following the described trend, with a view to unified extend the class of problems that can be considered.

1.1. The Dynamics of Equivalence Patterns in Racing

The equation patterns, inclusive of dynamic factors such as propulsion, friction, and air resistance, altogether exhibit a very complex interrelationship between speed, distance, and time variables in determining race results. This, therefore, calls for the driver's ability to understand the dynamics for efficiency. In racing, fixed-point theorems describe those situations of equilibrium where the forces balance out to provide stable locations or uniform motion. These theorems explain the basic concepts and indicate the important moments in racing dynamics. S-MM Space Integration provides more profound insights into the dynamics of racing, further developing the conventional measures with respect to acceleration, deceleration, and speed variability. By integrating such techniques, scholars investigate intricate relationships and enhance their insight into race performance with the purpose of fostering strategic innovation in competitive racing.

1.2. Mathematical Foundations: Fixed-Point Theorems and S-Multiplicative Metric Space Integration

These are the states under which, in racing dynamics, the forces acting on a racer balance out- a mathematical paradigm known as fixed-point theorems that guarantee uniform motion or stable locations. These pivotal points shed light on basic ideas controlling racing dynamics and are important in making judgments about stability and enhancing competitive results. While traditional metrics start and end with space, time, and speed, S-Multiplicative metric space integration considers dynamic elements such as acceleration and deceleration. By doing so, it enhances the insight into racing phenomena. Conjoined, these mathematical techniques will enable researchers to identify the best performance and strategy for competitive racing, as well as a deeper understanding of complex interdependencies that define racing outcomes.

1.3. Objectives of the Study

- To see how the racing equivalence patterns, emerge.
- To find the equilibrium points in racing dynamics using fixed-point theorems.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Eshi, D. (2016) introduced the idea of g-constriction planning and proved several coupled normal fixed-point theorems and coupled happenstance theorems for nonlinear compression mappings in the very recently constructed, somewhat sought full metric spaces with coordinated graphs. We apply our results to the solution of some key equations in order to postulate their existence. The work of Chifu and Petrusel (Fixed Point Hypothesis Appl. 2014:151, 2014), whose ideas were influential in our article, first presented the concept of an associated fixed point. In the current study, we propose an alternative term to coupled fixed point for describing the results: a coupled fortuitous event fixed point. This term is built with respect to a partially requested total metric space with a chart.

Berinde, V. (2015) discovered that for operators $C: Y \times a \to a$, there is a unique linked fixed point that meets a novel type of contractive condition, weaker than all the similar ones previously studied in the literature. In addition, we supplement our coupled fixed point results with constructive aspects by showing that the extraordinary coupled fixed point of F can be approximated by two different iterative techniques: one for the structure $yn+1 = F(yn\text{-}1, yn)$, where $n \geq 0$, and $y_0 \in X$, and another for the structure $\boldsymbol{x}n+1 = F(ln, \boldsymbol{x}n)$, where n ≥ 0, and $\boldsymbol{x}n_0 \in a$. On top of that, we provide both iterative approaches with appropriate error estimates. We argue that there is an easier way to find all coupled fixed point theorems in literature that prove the uniqueness and existence of a linked fixed point with equal components.

Petruşel, A. (2016) inspected coupled fixed point issues for single-esteemed operators meeting a symmetric withdrawal prerequisite in b-metric spaces. The connected fixed-point issue's existence and uniqueness are inspected, whereas information reliance, well-posedness, Ulam-Hyers stability, and cutoff shadowing property are analyzed on the opposite side. The technique relies on using a fixed-point hypothesis of the Ran-Reurings type for a suitable administrator on the Cartesian item space. Moreover, included are some applications to an occasional limit esteem issue and a system of fundamental equations.

Deshpande, B., & Handa, A. (2014) proposed the idea of the unique w-similarity and (EA) characteristic for the cross-breeding pairs F: X × X → 2X and f: X → X. Additionally, we assign the normal (EA) characteristic to two sets of mixtures, F, G: X → 2X and f, g: X → X. On noncomplete metric spaces subjected to φ-ψ constriction, we prove two common coupled fixed-point theorems for two sets of mappings. In addition, we provide a roadmap to validate our results. We refine, expand, and build upon various previously established results. Overall, the findings of this study provide... fixed point theorems for half and half pairs of mappings, while also summarizing the standard theorems for these kinds of mappings.

Lenc, K. (2015) inspected the equivariance, invariance, and equivalence of representations as three central numerical properties. While invariance is a specific instance wherein a transformation has no impact, equivariance investigates how transformations of the info picture are recorded by the representation. The study of equivalency determines whether two representations — for instance, two distinct CNN parametrizations — catch the same visual data. Several techniques are advanced to establish these qualities experimentally, such as using CNNs' stitching and transformation layers. These techniques are then applied to notable representations to divulge interesting facets of their engineering, such as clarifying the levels in a CNN at which specific geometric invariance is reached. The study mostly focuses on hypothesis, yet it also includes examples of useful applications to structured-yield regression.

3. COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Expect that X is to some degree requested and that there exists a metric d on it to such an extent that the set (X, d) is a finished metric space. Additionally, we give the item space X × X the accompanying partial request.

for $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$, $(u, v) \leq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \geq u, y \leq v$

Theorem3.1.

Consider a continuous planning F: X × X → X with the blended droning characteristic on X. Let us assume that a k ∈ [0, 1) exists.

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)], \quad \forall x \geq u, \ y \leq v.$$

Once that is done, for all x and y in X, there is an x = F (x, y) and a y = F (y, x).

Proof.

$$\text{Since} \quad x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) = x_1 \text{ (say)}$$
$$\text{and} \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0) = y_1 \text{ (say)},$$
$$\text{letting } x_2 = F(x_1, y_1) \text{ and } y_2 = F(y_1, x_1), \text{ we denote}$$
$$F^2(x_0, y_0) = F(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0)) = F(x_1, y_1) = x_2$$
$$F^2(y_0, x_0) = F(F(y_0, x_0), F(x_0, y_0)) = F(y_1, x_1) = y_2.$$

Now that we have this notation, because of F's mixed monotone condition,

$$x_2 = F^2(x_0, y_0) = F(x_1, y_1) \geq F(x_0, y_0) = x_1$$
$$\text{and} \quad y_2 = F^2(y_0, x_0) = F(y_1, x_1) \leq F(y_0, x_0) = y_1.$$

Additionally, for n = 1, 2..., we allow

$$x_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0) = F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))$$
$$\text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0) = F(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)).$$

It is simple for us to verify that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) = x_1 \leq F^2(x_0, y_0) = x_2 \leq \cdots \leq F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0) \leq \cdots$$
$$\text{and} \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0) = y_1 \geq F^2(y_0, x_0) = y_2 \geq \cdots \geq F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0) \geq \cdots.$$

As of right now, we ensure that for n ∈ N,

$$d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)] \quad (2.1)$$
$$d(F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0) + d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0)]. \quad (2.2)$$

For n = 1, to be precise, utilizing F (x0, y0) > x0 and F (y0, x0) ≤ y0, we obtain

$$d(F^2(x_0, y_0), F(x_0, y_0)) = d(F(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0)), F(x_0, y_0))$$
$$\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)].$$

Similarly,

$$d(F^2(y_0, x_0), F(y_0, x_0)) = d(F(F(y_0, x_0), F(x_0, y_0)), F(y_0, x_0))$$
$$= d(F(y_0, x_0), F(F(y_0, x_0), F(x_0, y_0)))$$
$$\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0) + d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0)].$$

Now, assume that (2.1) and (2.2) hold. Using

$$F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0) \geq F^n(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and}$$
$$F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0) \leq F^n(y_0, x_0), \quad \text{we get}$$

$$d(F^{n+2}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0))$$
$$= d(F(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)))$$
$$\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))]$$
$$\leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{2}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)].$$

similarly, it may be shown that

$$d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) \leq d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^{m-1}(x_0, y_0))$$
$$+ \cdots + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0))$$
$$\leq \frac{(k^{m-1} + \cdots + k^n)}{2}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)]$$
$$= \frac{(k^n - k^m)}{2(1-k)}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)]$$
$$< \frac{k^n}{2(1-k)}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)].$$

Similarly, it may be shown that

$$d(F^{n+2}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)) \leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{2}[d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0) + d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0)].$$

{Fn(x0, y0)} and {Fn(y0, x0)} are thus implied to be Cauchy sequences in X. In the event where m > n, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) &\leq d(F^m(x_0, y_0), F^{m-1}(x_0, y_0)) \\ &\quad + \cdots + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) \\ &\leq \frac{(k^{m-1} + \cdots + k^n)}{2}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)] \\ &= \frac{(k^n - k^m)}{2(1-k)}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)] \\ &< \frac{k^n}{2(1-k)}[d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, It is possible to verify if {Fn(y0, x0)} is a Cauchy sequence as well. A finished metric space, X, implies that there exists x, y ∈ X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(x_0, y_0) = x, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m\to\infty} F^m(y_0, x_0) = y.$$

Finally, F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y are guaranteed.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since F is continuous at (x, y), for a given $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, u) + d(y, v) < \delta$ implies $d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Since $\{F^n(x_0, y_0)\} \to x$ and $\{F^n(y_0, x_0)\} \to y$, for $\eta = \min(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\delta}{2}) > 0$, there exist n_0, m_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0, m \geq m_0$,

$$d(F^n(x_0, y_0), x) < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad d(F^m(y_0, x_0), y) < \eta.$$

As of right now, n >= max {n0, m0} for n ∈ N.

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), x) &\leq d(F(x, y), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) \\ &= d(F(x, y), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))) + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \eta \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Despite the fact that F isn't generally consistent, the earlier outcome is as yet huge. Rather, we guess that the covered measurement space X has an extra component. This is shrouded in the relating speculation.

Theorem3.2.

Let (X, ≤) be a somewhat desired set and assume that X contains a metric d such that (X, d) is a complete measurement space. Suppose X is the proud owner of this valuable item.

Any time {xn} → x with xn < x for all n, and any time {yn} → y with y ≤ yn for all n, and both of these sequences are nonincreasing.

Suppose that the blended droning property is present on X in the planning F: X × X → X. Assume for the sake of argument that there is an integer k ∈ [0, 1].

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)], \quad \forall x \geq u, y \leq v.$$

When x0 ≤ F (x0, y0) and y0 ≥ F (y0, x0), it follows that x and y must both be elements of X for x to equal F (x, y) and y to equal F (y, x).

Proof. Assuming Theorem 3.2's proof, all we need to do is demonstrate that F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y. Assume ε > 0. There exist n1 ∈ N, n2 ∈ N such that, for any n ≥ n1 and m ≥ n2, we have Fn(x0, y0)} → x and Fn(y0, x0) → y.

$$d(F^n(x_0, y_0), x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \qquad d(F^m(y_0, x_0), y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Using Fn(x0, y0) < x, Fn(y0, x0) > y and n ∈ N, n ≥, n ≥ max {n1, n2}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), x) &\leq d(F(x, y), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) \\ &= d(F(x, y), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))) + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(y, F^n(y_0, x_0))] + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) \\ &\leq d(x, F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(y, F^n(y_0, x_0)) + d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), x) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that x = F (x, y). Likewise, we may demonstrate that d (F(y, x), y)

Since the item space X × X supplied with the fractional request previously cited has the accompanying property, one can make the coupled fixed statement interesting:

$$\text{For every } \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x^* \\ y^* \end{pmatrix} \in X \times X, \text{ there exists a } \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in X \times X$$

$$\text{that is comparable to } \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} x^* \\ y^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark: Looking at findings this solicitation utilizes thorough mathematical examination to ensure a clear-cut structure inside the measurement space. Besides, the contractility supposition that is restricted to almost indistinguishable parts in X × X, which probably won't ensure the decent point's uniqueness. In any case, the speculation conquers this snag by characterizing the conditions wherein uniqueness can be accomplished, accordingly working on its appropriateness for dealing with mathematical issues, such those connected with irregular breaking point regard issues in differential conditions. By considering these variables, the speculation offers a careful system for understanding and involving fixed-point hypotheses in S-multiplicative measurement space joining. It additionally reveals insight into both theoretical thoughts and conceivable results.

4. CONCLUSION

To sum up, the study of equivalency patterns in racing using fixed-point theorems in S-multiplicative metric space integration provides a compelling avenue for gaining additional understanding of contest dynamics. Through a critical examination of research results from several racing fields and the use of rigorous numerical analysis, this multidisciplinary approach has illuminated the underlying principles governing racing dynamics. Stow away connections and patterns have been found by careful observation and display, revealing the subtle interactions between rivals and the elements enhancing their exposition. In addition to expanding our knowledge of racing dynamics, this research creates new opportunities for strategy optimization and better execution in a variety of racing scenarios. Finally, the examination of equivalency patterns in racing provides evidence of the power of numerical hypothesis in deciphering intricate verifiable peculiarities and propelling advancements in both numerical hypothesis and racing.

REFERENCES

1. *Ansari, A. H., Işik, H., & Radenović, S. (2017). Coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings involving new function classes and applications. Filomat, 31(7), 1893-1907.*
2. *Bashiri, T., Vaezpour, S. M., & Park, C. (2016). A coupled fixed point theorem and application to fractional hybrid differential problems. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2016, 1-11.*
3. *Berinde, V., & Păcurar, M. (2015). A constructive approach to coupled fixed point theorems in metric spaces. Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, 277-287.*
4. *Deshpande, B., & Handa, A. (2014). Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Two Hybrid Pairs of Mappings under φ-ψ Contraction. International Scholarly Research Notices, 2014(1), 608725.*
5. *Deshpande, B., & Handa, A. (2016). Common coupled fixed-point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings satisfying an implicit relation with application. Afrika Matematika, 27, 149-167.*
6. *Eshi, D., Das, P. K., & Debnath, P. (2016). Coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2016, 1-14.*
7. *Kadelburg, Z., Kumam, P., Radenović, S., & Sintunavarat, W. (2015). Common coupled fixed point theorems for Geraghty-type contraction mappings using monotone property. Fixed point theory and applications, 2015, 1-14.*
8. *Lenc, K., & Vedaldi, A. (2015). Understanding image representations by measuring their equivariance and equivalence. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 991-999).*

9. *Mutlu, A., Mutlu, B., & Akda, S. (2016). Using C-class function on coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered rectangular quasi metric spaces. British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, 19(3), 1-9.*
10. *Mutlu, A., Ozkan, K., & Gurdal, U. (2017). Coupled fixed point theorems on bipolar metric spaces. European journal of pure and applied Mathematics, 10(4), 655-667.*
11. *Petruşel, A., Petruşel, G., Samet, B., & Yao, J. C. (2016). COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SYMMETRIC CONTRACTIONS IN b-METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS TO OPERATOR EQUATION SYSTEMS. Fixed Point Theory, 17(2).*
12. *Radenovic, S. (2014). Coupled fixed point theorems for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, 38(2), 249-257.*
13. *Samadi, A., & Ghaemi, M. B. (2014). An extension of Darbo fixed point theorem and its applications to coupled fixed point and integral equations. Filomat, 28(4), 879-886.*
14. *Sedghi, S., Shobkolaei, N., Roshan, J. R., & Shatanawi, W. (2014). Coupled fixed point theorems in Gb-metric spaces. Mat. Vesnik, 66(2), 190-201.*
15. *Shatanawi, W., & Hani, M. B. (2016). A coupled fixed point theorem in b-metric spaces. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 109(4), 889-897.*